

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, and 5-13 remain in the application. Claims 1, 8, and 9 have been amended by the present Amendment. Claims 3 and 4 were previously cancelled.

Claims 1, 2, and 5-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 9 have been amended to make these claims comply with the concerns outlined by the Examiner in Paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Office Action dated June 25, 2007. More specifically, in addition to amending independent claim 1, claim 9 has also been amended to address the issues raised by the Examiner in Paragraph 3 relating to the various species for compound (b). As such, the § 112, second paragraph, rejection is overcome.

Applicant's attorney respectfully submits that the claims as amended are now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests such allowance. If any additional fees are necessary to respond to the outstanding Office Action, you are hereby authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 08-2789 in the name of Howard & Howard.

**Respectfully submitted,
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS**

September 25, 2007
Date

/David M. LaPrairie/
David M. LaPrairie, Registration No. 46,295
Howard and Howard Attorneys, P.C.
The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101
39400 Woodward Ave.
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5151
(248) 723-0442